

**MINUTES OF THE  
WEST LAFAYETTE REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION  
June 18, 2007**

Redevelopment Commission members present: Steve Belter, Larry Oates, Earle Nay, Patsy Hoyer, and Diane Damico. Also in attendance: Mayor Jan Mills, Clerk-Treasurer Judy Rhodes, John Gambs of Gambs, Mucker & Bauman, City Engineer Dave Buck, Fire Chief Phil Drew, Parks Superintendent Joe Payne, Richard Treptow and Heidi Dickman of Umbaugh and Associates, Jay Vorisek of CrossRoad Engineers, Josh Andrew, Beverly Shaw, and Deborah Kervin of the Department of Development, City Council member Patti O'Callaghan and citizens and members of the media.

Mr. Belter called the meeting to order at 12:06 p.m. Mr. Belter asked were all the appropriate meeting notices and agendas posted and mailed? Ms. Kervin answered yes, they were.

**OLD BUSINESS**

Mr. Oates made a motion to approve the May 14, 2007 minutes. Mr. Nay seconded. Mr. Belter asked if there were any corrections. Mr. Nay noted that his name had been omitted from the list of those present. The minutes were approved as corrected and the motion passed unanimously 4-0.

**NEW BUSINESS**

Mr. Belter stated the first item of business is the Change Order for the Wabash Landing Garage. Ms. Hoyer said Tom (Gall, T. J. Gall & Associates) is out of town. He sent us an e-mail to explain the change. Ms. Hoyer read Mr. Gall's e-mail which explained the change order as follows:

**Wabash Landing Garage:**

All the contracted work for this phase is complete. The change order takes into account additional joints that were replaced. The initial survey did not take into account every joint in the deck, just those that had failed at the time of the survey. Once Western (Waterproofing Company) was on site we were quickly able to determine that the double T plates were not going to be exposed during the process so that work was eliminated and I decided to replace all the joints in the garage deck so all of them would be approximately the same age and quality.

The other items were simply adjustments to the actual quantities put in place – some adds and some deducts – with the exception of the large item to go

ahead and coat the steel face of the stair treads, not originally specified, so all the upper surfaces of the stairwell would be coated. The final contract amount is under the PO/appropriation amount.

At your direction, we can now begin looking at the remaining items on the list and determine how and when you wish to proceed at next month's meeting.

Mr. Belter said Josh, I believe we need to approve the Change Order. Mr. Andrew answered that is correct. Mr. Nay made a motion to approve Change Order No. 1 in the amount of \$5,925.25 with Western Waterproofing Company. Mr. Oates seconded. Mr. Belter said is there was any additional discussion on the changes? Mr. Oates said it sounds like we got some good news and got some additional work done than was supposed to be done. Ms. Hoyer said Tom Gall really does a wonderful job for us, but this is really helpful. Mr. Belter said I agree.

The motion to approve Change Order No. 1 with Western Waterproofing Company passed unanimously 4-0.

Mr. Oates said before we move off the Parking Garage, I just recently got the financials for May for the Parking Garage. It also gives the detail of operations for the first five months of this year. I don't think the folks are here from the management company, but I have to tell you that it's nice to see that they have turned it around and we now have a \$21,000 net operating income based on the garage year-to-date. That's from last year—we're not out of the woods yet—we still owe some charge backs. It looked like about \$145,000 on one of the statements, but we're \$21,000 ahead the first five months of the year and that can go a long way towards starting to pay down some of those things that we owe in liabilities on this thing. I just wanted to indicate that they are doing an outstanding job over there. Mr. Belter said I agree with you and I reached the same conclusions going through that information. The summertime income I expect will be down some but nonetheless, at least the first five months look pretty decent.

Mr. Belter said the next item of business is the authorization of the trustee to pay claims. Mr. Oates made a motion to pay the claims as presented. Ms. Hoyer seconded.

Mr. Belter continued reading from Mr. Gall's e-mail giving an update on the current projects.

### **Nighthawk Trail:**

The trail has been paved and the fence installed. The Parks department has placed large rocks at strategic locations to guide trail users to the entrance of that section correctly. In addition, they will be installing the barriers on

each end to keep automobile traffic off of this new section. Landscaping will take place this fall.

### **Chauncey Square Redevelopment:**

The storm and sanitary sewer project is proceeding on schedule. Some of the sidewalk, curb and gutter work to be paid out of the previous appropriation is being installed as part of that project. The remainder of that work will be contracted separately and the timing will be dependant on the building projects schedule.

The Verizon invoice for the work completed last year is in excess of the P.O. amount by roughly \$15,000 which was a surprise based on conversations with Verizon that it would be within the P.O. amount at the end of the year when the balance of the appropriation that the overage could have been paid out of reverted back to the TIF. I have asked for an explanation of the costs and will provide additional information at next month's meeting.

### **Midway Tree & Shrub Planting:**

All of the trees and shrubs are in place. Bennett's is responsible for watering and maintaining them for the next two years with the actual warranty on the plants being one year. At this point, it looks like they may have lost some of the shrubs but we will wait until fall to determine that for sure. A few trees from last year's planting did not survive the additional 2-3 weeks of unexpected winter after they had started to leaf out and died. They will be replaced this fall. We have a full inventory including pictures of each tree on the project and are developing a final revised plan showing what was planted, when, and by whom for use in tracking them in the future.

Mr. Belter said Dave (Buck, City Engineer), do you have anything that you would like to add? Mr. Buck said Tapawingo opened last week. We've had our pre-final walk through inspection with the contractors and made a list of what items need to be addressed and completed before we final the job. We have the final tabulations on all the quantities and everything, and the final records are created by HNTB. We have submitted HNTB's supplement No. 2 to INDOT for their review and hope to hear back from them in a week or two as to whether that's approved and we can go ahead and execute that.

Mr. Oates said it looks like I'm hearing that – one of the bills is for some bollards for the Tapawingo South Trail – does that put everything in place on that trail now? Mr.

Buck said it should, yes. Councilor O'Callaghan said Dave, on Friday HNTB was there on that intersection with South River Road and Tapawingo South. What were they doing there? Mr. Buck said I'm not sure. There were some timing issues on both signals, which the signal contractor would have been working on that. Councilor O'Callaghan said this was asphalt. They were redoing asphalt. Mr. Buck said there was a section where the first course of asphalt was wider than the top surface course and it created a small ledge and they might have been fixing that portion. There were some other areas that the power company kind of scratched up. They might have been working fixing those areas. Councilor O'Callaghan said it's nice to see that they are still on track. Thank you.

Ms. Hoyer said I have a question. We're going to be voting on equipment for Fire Station No. 3, but included in these claims is the Station No. 3 defibrillator. Why is that not with that batch? Does it matter, because it's all medical? Chief Drew said because that's been purchased. Mr. Oates said the resolution that we're going to have later is to transfer money out of the equipment fund into the building fund. My understanding is that we're going to actually help them spend some of their equipment money on something other than equipment.

Mr. Belter said are there any other questions about the payment of claims. There were none. The motion to approve the payment of claims passed unanimously 4-0.

Mr. Belter stated the next item of business is **Resolution RC 2007-10**. It appears to be about the engineering work that Dave talked about at the last meeting for the improvements to Yeager Road at the north edge of town. Ms. Damico made a motion to approve Resolution RC 2007-10 Appropriating Funds from the Kalberer/Cumberland/Blackbird Development Area Surplus Fund to Pay Expenses of the Commission for Certain Local Public Improvements in or Serving the Allocation Area. Ms. Hoyer seconded.

Mr. Belter said Dave will you review this for us? Mr. Buck said I sent you a memo in either late May or the first of June and described several projects along Yeager Road. We advertised and selected a consultant for what we're calling Yeager Road Phase I Improvements, which is from U.S. 52 down to Northwestern Avenue. We're moving forward with the design use agreements and review by INDOT of those agreements. The City selected American Structure Point, formerly known as ACE (American Consulting Engineers). So those agreements are in the review process and hopefully we'll be starting that survey work and that engineering effort to rebuild that section. We'll probably widen it and add travel lanes to improve property access through there and travel flow and certainly that's a main route into Purdue. We believe that it will continue to be a main route as the City develops and the Research Park develops north along Yeager Road. There will be much more traffic in general on Yeager Road as a corridor and a main north-south arterial for the City. What we're calling the Phase II Improvements on Yeager is that section from Kalberer Road to the new north city limits—right in the middle of what will eventually be Phase III of the Research Park. The County has also identified this and in many ways all of the member jurisdictions

have identified it in our Transportation Plan for 2030 and the TIF in different ways as a project that's going to be constructed. So far, this project has not been identified to receive any Federal funds. We're going to attempt to do this all locally with local funds.

Mr. Buck continued with the County has gone into a preliminary engineering study for a route selection. I have Jay Vorisek with me today. He's with CrossRoad Engineers. That's the consulting firm that the County has selected and has done much of the preliminary engineering study on looking at several different routes. There's a realignment of that portion of Yeager that has those four 90% bends as it steps from CR 100 W to CR 140 W. The County would like to realign that into a gradual set of two curves that are properly designed, and if they need to add super elevation, add super elevation. They want to get that alignment selected and the right-of-way purchased before residential development or whatever development happens out in that area, so it can be planned from where the road is going to be even if they don't go forward with construction. They basically want to get a set of plans and a right-of-way purchased so that they're ready to go when development is ready to happen. The City, I would recommend, that we be in similar position with the Research Park. Our alignment is a straight tangent. We foresee no need to vary off of the existing center line. We are fortunate that the Purdue Research Foundation owns both sides of the property and will likely look very similar to that section of Yeager Road just south of Kalberer. That's our intent for the scope of the project at this point.

Mr. Buck continued with the County has completed its route selection report and is ready to move forward with actual survey and engineering design for things like the roadway drainage where the right-of-way is going to be, and what they are going to need to have to build the roads. We'd be interested in starting that effort, too, so that we can combine, not only efforts during construction, but efforts now during the planning so that all this can be planned as one entire project; even though it will be our portion and the County's portion.

Ms. Damico asked Dave can you show me that on this map. Mr. Buck pointed out where the County's portion of planned realignment to get a safer alignment with two gradual curves and the City's portion from Kalberer Road up about a half mile. Ms. Damico said this resolution that we are talking about is for engineering just for that portion. Mr. Buck said just that portion, yes. Mr. Oates said that does not include any engineering for the rebuilding of Yeager south of Cumberland up to Sagamore? Mr. Buck answered no, this small piece down here is a totally separate project; a totally separate agreement. There's no work proposed between U.S. 52 and Kalberer. This would be the section north of Kalberer for this appropriation request.

Mr. Belter said this is an opportunity to piggy-back on the County's work for a reduced cost and I also get the impression, without having any real inside information, that it may not be too long before PRF has one or two clients that might want to connect to Yeager Road out of the Research Park. Mr. Buck said we're hopeful that that can be a reality, certainly. We're looking at utility service in that area as well, since this road

project is an arterial street. It's definitely something that the more prepared we are for future development, the quicker it's going to be that we can react and the more attractive we'll be for landing potential projects like that. Mayor Mills said if we were fortunate enough to get a new company in the Research Park, which we're keeping our fingers crossed about, that needed more than a 100,000 square feet of space. They would almost have to be north of Kalberer and that makes having this road ready to go as quickly as possible an important step.

Mr. Oates said does the County have anything in their timeline as to when they are proposing to build their portion? Mr. Buck answered nothing definite. They literally want to have a set of plans on the shelf and the right-of-way purchased so that they can, when necessary, begin construction based on when development happens and budget for the project. I don't know if Jay (Vorisek, of CrossRoad Engineers) knows any more detail other than that; but, in my talks with Opal (Kuhl, County Highway Executive Director) they just want to get a set of plans ready to go so that they are ready to start when they need to. Mr. Oates said so the potential cost savings that we're really looking at is in the design portion of it then? Mr. Buck said in the actual design of the project. Survey will be less of an effort but that really is only going to be a savings in the engineering contract. What this will do is create the two projects to dovetail together so that if there's a drainage basin that's a third in the County and two-thirds in the City, that's it's going in the logical direction and we're not two stand alone projects which would be unnecessary. Mr. Oates said the likelihood is that we will basically break ground a lot sooner than the County, if that's what I'm understanding here. Mr. Buck said it's certainly possible, if we're ready to go sooner on construction, we might stimulate the County to start sooner.

Mr. Buck said right now it's gravel and it does have some fairly dangerous 90 degree curves. One of their subdivisions only connects out onto Morehouse Road, and really it's the back door of the subdivision, Hadley Moors. The front door was designed to come out onto Yeager when it's improved and so there are quite a few folks who are in the County as residents who are interested in this road being improved that are already out there.

Mr. Belter asked if there were any other questions from the Commission. Hearing none, Mr. Belter opened the Public Hearing for Resolution RC 2007-10 which proposes an expenditure of up to \$150,000 for engineering along Yeager Road.

Councilor O'Callaghan said I did drive that after we were discussing this. I was so surprised that that subdivision front entrance is on the gravel road. I think it's just critical that we move as soon as possible. Mr. Buck said it's the only gravel road that we have in the City. Mr. Belter asked if there were any other questions or comments from the public on the appropriation. Hearing none, the Public Hearing was closed.

The motion to pass Resolution RC 2007-10 to fund the engineering along Yeager Road passed unanimously 4-0.

Mr. Belter said the next item of business is **Resolution RC 2007-11**. This involves the payment of real estate taxes on the Burnham's property. Mr. Andrew said and on the Elva James property. We purchased a 50 foot wide section, which is a portion of the Rally's property which is between the properties we own on State Street South and the lift station. We'd been talking with her for a number of years and we finally...these are '06 property taxes, payable in '07 property taxes. Mr. Belter said okay, so it's essentially a one-shot deal. Mr. Andrew said it reverts then off the tax roles to us.

Mr. Nay made a motion to approve Resolution RC 2007-11 Appropriating Funds from the Levee/Village Redevelopment Area Surplus Fund to pay Expenses of the Commission for Certain Local Public Improvements in or Serving the Allocation Area. Mr. Oates seconded.

Mr. Belter said are there any questions or comments? Mr. Nay asked what is the division? Mr. Andrew said I don't have that with me. Ms. Rhodes said virtually all of it is the Burnham's property. Mr. Andrew said yes, the James property couldn't have been much. Mr. Oates said just so I have a better understanding, where is this other property? I know where Burnham's is. Mr. Andrew said it's directly across from Wabash Landing, on the south side of State Street. It's right in front of the rocks. Mr. Nay said it's right where Rally's Hamburgers used to be. Mr. Belter said the early platting of land along State Street, the lots were very narrow. Mr. Buck said two of them would fit in this room. Mr. Andrew said but they're long and you can't get to them.

Mr. Belter opened the Public Hearing for Resolution RC 2007-11. Mr. Belter said are there any comments from the public concerning the expenditure of \$12,000 for the payment of real estate taxes.

Ms. Rhodes said I just want you to know that the payment of Burnham's property taxes has been made out of the General Fund—one department, City Services and you will be reimbursing us.

Mr. Belter asked if there were any other questions or comments from the public on the appropriation. Hearing none, the Public Hearing was closed.

The motion to approve Resolution RC 2007-11 passed unanimously 4-0.

Mr. Belter said the next item of business is **Resolution RC 2007-12** which transfers funds from one account number to a different account number related to Fire Station No. 3.

Mr. Nay made a motion to approve Resolution RC 2007-12. Mr. Oates seconded.

Mr. Belter said Dave, would you explain why we are transferring funds. Mr. Buck said the bids were higher than our estimate. Obviously that's been no surprise.

We're paying a premium for time—a higher premium than we'd estimated. We had moved the bid date back two days. We had considered moving it back a week because of other construction bids that were coming in that were larger in scope than our project. We had heard of two projects in Kokomo, a school corporation project that was bidding that same week, and that Friday before, a hospital in White County had a large bid so a lot of the subcontractors, in particular, were asking for more time on our bid. As it was, we were still only able to get two bids turned in on our project. We felt that had it been three, four or even five, it would have been substantially more competitive and a substantially lower price. So we're paying for time, lack of competition and that sort of thing on the bid.

Mr. Buck continued with as such, we had requested an appropriation for a Building Fund amount and an Equipment Fund amount. The equipment amount was for equipment that would be taken out of the fire station to the permanent station; defibrillators, things of that nature. There is equipment in the construction contract, but furnaces, and refrigerators or stoves, or some things like that, that are not going to move. We would like to have this transfer request of \$21,383.00 moved from Equipment to the Building Fund. We have a long list of items that we are looking at, trying to do more efficiently and more effectively with the contractors so that we can bring down the overall construction and still bring it in at the number we requested. We feel like we can do that. It's going to be difficult, but we're still sticking to that number. We anticipate a transfer back after we get into the construction to bring that equipment appropriation back to \$99,000.

Ms. Damico said how did you come up with this figure? Mr. Buck answered it's the difference between the appropriation and the contract amount. Ms. Damico said do you know what it will affect as far as what you would not be able to buy? Chief Drew said I think the chief point is that we would anticipate paying it back later. That includes the defibrillator that the claim has come through on. It would include items such as hose and the thermal imaging camera and also furnishing items for the station such as beds. But, I think the key is that we expect to be alright in the end.

Mr. Oates said from my standpoint, and I was the one to ask on this at the last meeting, was that the number here was the number and that was a not-to-exceed number and we were going to not exceed that number. I understand the situation that we're in. I just want to make sure that we're on record that if it comes down to a situation, where we've done, and by when I say we, I mean the City, and the offices and departments and such have done their jobs as hard as they possibly can, and we're still ahead on this number, I am going to be first in line to say we should be spending on some equipment. I don't want to be responsible for putting a fire truck out there that's not fully equipped to be able to do the job that it's intended to do. I appreciate the work and I would fully expect that they work awfully darn hard to get that number down as low as possible so that we can transfer this money back in. But, when push comes to shove, I don't want my signature on the thing that says I'm going to put a fire truck out there that can't do the job that it's designed to do.



Ms. Damico said it's also equipment that ...I don't remember what was on the list of equipment, but would you need that by the time you open as well? I know it was significant and was supposed to go over to the new station, too. That's what I'm confused about. If we're transferring, does that mean we won't have the money to spend on the things that you need? Chief Drew said by the time we open, I think that we should have all the equipment that we need. Ms. Damico said by the time the temporary..? Chief Drew said by the time the temporary fire station opens, yes. Mr. Oates said so the bottom line is, this is a stop gap measure so that we can go forward with the contract. We're covering our costs at this point. You guys are going to work to reduce those costs as much as possible; work with the contractors as far as change orders and the like, and hopefully, there will be \$21,383 left over after those costs savings and you'll come back to us and we'll move it from 420 back to 444 (account numbers). Mr. Buck said yes, and we hope it's a lot more.

Mr. Buck said we've talked about everything from correctional labor or community service labor. The contractor's very willing to work with us to do anything that he can to help us get the cost down. Of course, they want to make money on the project and that's only fair. We're trying to be reasonable about that, too. But, at the same time, we have a ceiling and we've told them that's what our ceiling is and it's been pretty much out in the open and up front. So, it's been pretty easy to communicate.

Mr. Andrew said Dave, who is the contractor? Mr. Buck answered Hamstra Builders out of Wheatfield. They did the County Library Branch out at Klondike. They did the basement remodel project for the City which I think we had a couple of \$400 change orders that we didn't request; such as, an outlet that needed to be moved or a light switch that needed to be moved. Other than that, all the change order items were things that we requested to add because we had room in the budget. They're very reasonable to work with. We're hopeful that we'll be able to partner with them well and keep the costs down. Mr. Gambs (John Gambs of Gambs, Mucker & Bauman) said they were very cooperative with the library with donations from Kirby-Risk and things like that coming out of the budget. They were very cooperative with local help coming in and trying to reduce the costs. They were very good about that. We were very pleased with the library.

Mr. Belter opened the Public Hearing for Resolution RC 2007-12. Mr. Belter asked are there any questions or comments from the public about the transfer of funds from Equipment to Building for the temporary fire station? Hearing none, the Public Hearing was closed.

Mr. Belter said are there any other questions? Mr. Oates said I just have one or two, if it's easier now, to direct to Joe Payne. Are any of these things that we're talking about, are these going to effect the future use of this building by the Parks Department? Mr. Payne answered no, I don't think so. I think we're pretty flexible and we have a design spec. We can actually do some removals and changes without affecting the

structure so that it's more close to what we see as the long term use of the community room plus our office and workshop space, primarily.

The motion to approve Resolution RC 2007-12 requesting the transfer of funds from Equipment Account # 444 to Building Improvements Account # 420 for the temporary Fire Station #3 Project passed unanimously 4-0.

Mr. Belter stated the next item of business is the approval of the Lease between the Board of Parks and Recreation and the Redevelopment Commission for the temporary use of the space out on Kalberer for the fire station.

Mr. Oates made a motion to approve the **Lease between the Board of Parks and Recreation and the Redevelopment Commission**. Ms. Damico seconded.

Mr. Belter said this is formalizing being allowed to use the building for a limited period of time. Mr. Payne said Bob Bauman (City Attorney) put it together and I'm pretty impressed that another attorney recommended approving it. Ms. Hoyer said I have a question about it. The Redevelopment Commission is spending money to rehab or fix this building and then we are leasing it from the Board of Parks...we are subletting it to the Fire Department? Where does the Fire Department come into this lease? Mr. Andrew said it's all part of the City—it's an umbrella.

Mr. Gambs stated that the only reason that there's a lease is because you can't spend money on something that you don't have an interest in. You're getting an interest from the City by leasing this property. Ms. Hoyer said I understand that. What I didn't know was where in this legal relationship that we've clarified here, where does the Fire Department fit in? Mr. Andrew said they're responsible for maintenance. Mr. Gambs said there wouldn't probably be a lease unless there was a legal restriction on you (The Redevelopment Commission) spending the money on some property that you didn't have an interest in. That's the only reason you have this lease. There isn't any problem with the Fire Department. That's a City agency using the property.

Mr. Oates said what's our commencing date and what's our termination date? Mr. Payne said you sign it today. Mr. Andrew said today. Mr. Oates said this is for the benefit of the people in Amberleigh Village. This is a two-year term. At the end of two years, the Parks Department is going to boot us out. Ms. Hoyer said well, we should be gone before then. Mr. Oates said right, and I would assume the Parks Department would not have a problem with us giving it back to them early. Mayor Mills said it says "or on earlier termination". Mr. Oates said when we approve this lease, do we also have to make an appropriation for \$1? I'm making this statement seriously, do we? I'm just making sure. Mr. Gambs said no, who's going to complain? I don't think anybody's going to.

Mr. Belter said are there any other questions or comments about the lease before we vote? There were none. The motion to approve the lease passed unanimously 4-0.

Mr. Belter said the next item of business is a report from Umbaugh & Associates and we're fortunate to have Richard Treptow here from Umbaugh to explain it to us.

Mr. Treptow said I'm Richard Treptow from Umbaugh and with me is Heidi Dickman who is the financial analyst on this project, this assignment. We've distributed TIF Revenue Report which covers the three TIF areas of the City. The Commission reviewed and discussed the Tax Increment Financing Report as presented.

Mr. Oates said we've elected to not pass that through for a one-year period, is that correct? Mr. Treptow said yes, that is an annual decision which has to be made before July 15<sup>th</sup> of each year. The County Auditor must be notified by July 15<sup>th</sup> of any pass through amounts.

Mr. Oates said I would like to make a motion that the Commission pass through the assessed value of \$18M as was done last year to the underlying taxing districts. In addition, I would also move to pass through the new residential development in the KCB West Lafayette School Corporation portion of \$2.6M with the idea that we can put this money back toward payment of basic city and life services within those districts; especially at this time of trending that's going on. Rather than banking it right now, let's let the taxpayers get that money back. So if these are annual decisions, we also need to let both of those taxing entities know that this is an annual decision and it may not come to fruition next year depending on where we are. Patsy Hoyer seconded.

Mr. Belter asked if there were any other questions for Richard (Treptow). There were none. The motion to approve the pass through passed unanimously 4-0.

Ms. Rhodes said doesn't the Council need a resolution? Will Council be passing a resolution on this request? Didn't a resolution come from Council the last time they did this? Mr. Treptow said I think it's a Commission action.

Ms. Damico said I want to say thank you for this report. I was able to follow it and I thought it was put together very well.

The Commission confirmed the following meetings:

**Monday, July 16 at 11:00 a.m.**

**Monday, August 20 at 12:00 noon**

Ms. Damico said I've been making some calls and trying to find out how we can get recycling bins by the tennis courts. I've heard that it's part of the schools and they should be working on that. I've heard that it's part of the Parks Department. How can I help that? Mr. Payne said tennis courts where? Ms. Damico said Cumberland School. Mr. Payne said that is not part of the Parks Department. There's Parks and Rec going on there run by an independent contractor working with the school corporation. It's not hard

to go out there and put some bins down, we can solve this very quickly. Ms. Damico said great, will they pick it up? Mayor Mills said the problem we have is, people just don't put recycling in there, and they put trash in there. Then somebody has to dump it all out and sort through it, take out the recyclables and take out the trash. People never just put recyclables in when it's out in a public spot like that. We can put it out there, but people still put trash in the recycling bins, it's unfortunate.

Mr. Belter asked is there any public comment for the Commission. There was none. Mr. Oates made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Ms. Hoyer seconded. The meeting adjourned at 1:23 p.m.

---

Lawrence T. Oates  
Recording Secretary

Approved:

---

Stephen E. Belter, President

/djkk